

INTERNATIONAL REMOTE AI PORTFOLIO

Better AI needs better judgment.

I'm Indra — a founder, business operator, and AI-enabled systems builder focused on design evaluation, product clarity, and human-controlled workflows.

BUSINESS × AI × SYSTEMS

Work samples grounded in product direction, operational realities, visual hierarchy, safe escalation, and documented QA.

03

Evidence-bounded project cases

03

Written AI-evaluation examples

2006Management degree, Universitas
Cenderawasih

Target work: AI Tutor / Design · AI Evaluator · Human Data · AI Operations · Product Operations

linkedin.com/in/indratj · papuabisa.com · github.com/papuakreatif-oss

CASE 01 / DESIGN SYSTEM · PRODUCT DIRECTION

Papua Bisa — Solution Discovery

PUBLIC PRODUCT / REPO-DOCUMENTED

01 — CONTEXT & PROBLEM

A multi-service business needs an accessible, consistent way for visitors to explain a problem and discover an appropriate service, pilot, or build-on-demand offer.

02 — PRODUCT / WORKFLOW DIRECTION

Make natural-language Smart Search the central interaction; standardize visual hierarchy and components; distinguish READY, PILOT, and BUILD ON DEMAND rather than flattening everything into a product claim.

03 — CRITICAL QUALITY JUDGMENT

Clear status labels and non-invented proof protect user trust. A search-first page is more useful than a wall of equally weighted service cards.

04 — WHAT THE EVIDENCE CAN AND CANNOT SHOW

Founder/product direction in the company ecosystem; the project repository documents team/system work, not individual authorship of every UI component. No independently measured conversion uplift is asserted.

PUBLIC PROOF POINT

Papua Bisa public site and its governed product/brand documentation.

<https://papuabisa.com/>

This is an owner/product-lead case study, not a claim of sole engineering authorship, verified user-conversion gains, or independent uptime monitoring.

CASE 02 / EVALUATION · UX · REQUIREMENTS

EO PapuaBisa — Search & Quality Gates

PRODUCTION REPORTED / REPO QA

01 — CONTEXT & PROBLEM

Event-service search must interpret natural Indonesian-language requests across services, locations, quantities, dates, and uncertain intent without inventing availability.

02 — PRODUCT / WORKFLOW DIRECTION

Require deterministic parsing with governed taxonomy; use shareable, versioned search state; preserve user intent and missing-field guidance through the RFQ handoff.

03 — CRITICAL QUALITY JUDGMENT

A controlled fallback that asks for missing information is safer than confident but unsupported answers. Acceptance checks are part of product quality, not an afterthought.

04 — WHAT THE EVIDENCE CAN AND CANNOT SHOW

The project README and M4 status record documented QA and production release. They do not prove Indra personally wrote the parser or executed every test. Current live uptime was not revalidated during this portfolio build.

PUBLIC PROOF POINT

EO PapuaBisa website plus repository README and M4 QA report.

<https://eo.papuabisa.com/>

This is an owner/product-lead case study, not a claim of sole engineering authorship, verified user-conversion gains, or independent uptime monitoring.

CASE 03 / AI OPERATIONS · RISK JUDGMENT

AI Front Desk — Human-Controlled Workflow

DESIGN / STAGED WORKFLOW · NOT FULL AI PROD

RISK / USER NEED

A business front desk receives high-context, sometimes sensitive inquiries where an AI mistake could create an unauthorized quote, commitment, or customer message.

DESIGNED DECISION SYSTEM

Separate structured intake, deterministic rules, draft-only AI assistance, approval gates, human handoff, and an audit trail. Stage testing before increasing autonomy.

HUMAN-IN-THE-LOOP RULE

For pricing, payment, policy exceptions, or ambiguous intent, escalation is the correct result. The goal is measurable trustworthiness, not the appearance of full automation.

STATUS BOUNDARY

Owner-directed implementation planning is documented. Later records describe a controlled inbound, manual-first provider canary; the broader automated WhatsApp/AI stack is NOT proven live end-to-end.

HOW I EVALUATE AI-ASSISTED WORK**Grounding**

Separate known facts from missing information.

Task quality

Make the next step clear, useful, and accessible.

Authorization

Escalate pricing, payment, or commitments.

Three constructed, labeled evaluation exercises are provided in the companion AI Evaluation Work Samples PDF.

PROFESSIONAL PROFILE

Operator first. Systems-minded.

I bring real business constraints into AI and product work: customer needs, supplier coordination, deadlines, brand consistency, acceptance criteria, and approval boundaries. My contribution is in framing the right decisions, reviewing outputs, and ensuring the system remains accountable to people.

ROLE FOCUS

AI Tutor (Design) · Design Reviewer · AI Evaluator · AI Operations · Product Operations

CAPABILITIES

Structured design critique · AI output review · Requirements & acceptance criteria · Prompt/workflow design · Human-in-the-loop review · Indonesian-language operational context · Cross-functional coordination

EDUCATION

Sarjana Ekonomi (S.E.), Management — Universitas Cenderawasih, Jayapura (2006).

LANGUAGE

Indonesian (native); English (technical working use — no standardized language test verified).

EVIDENCE / ATTRIBUTION POLICY

Cases draw from company project documentation and owner-directed project records; team work is not presented as sole individual authorship. No model-training employment, AI certifications, invented metrics, or unverified performance uplift is claimed. The AI evaluation samples are constructed exercises, not externally benchmarked model outputs.

CONTACT & WORK SAMPLES

<https://www.linkedin.com/in/indratij/>

<https://github.com/papuakreatif-oss>

<https://papuabisa.com> · <https://eo.papuabisa.com>

Email: indra@papuabisa.com